

Bio-D-Mulsion 1000[®]

Proven Safe and Effective in the Treatment of Hypovitaminosis D Among Otherwise Healthy Infants and Toddlers

Safe - Conservative regimen of **Bio-D-Mulsion 1000[®]** supplies necessary vitamin D (as emulsified D3) without the increased risk of hypercalcemia commonly associated with single, large dose therapies — especially important in an outpatient setting.*

Additionally, **Bio-D-Mulsion 1000[®]** contains no artificial colorants or flavorings and no propylene glycol.

Effective - In the US, One (1) drop daily of **Bio-D-Mulsion Forte[®]** (2,000 IU) increased 25(OH)D concentrations in vitamin D deficient children 202% in six weeks, effectively tripling 25(OH)D levels.*

Easy to Administer for Greater Compliance - Simply dispense one (1) drop from the bottle directly onto the tongue each day.*

*Gordon CM, et al. Treatment of Hypovitaminosis D in Infants and Toddlers J Clin Endocrin Metab. First published ahead of print April 15, 2008 as doi:10.1210/jc.2007-2790

Cost Effective - Of the three regimens tested, the one using **Bio-D-Mulsion 1000[®]** is by far the most cost effective. (less than a nickel per day — less than \$1.50 per month!)



additional information please contact us below.



(800) 840-1676

Biotics Research Canada
Box 283 • Keswick ON L4P 3E2
orders@bioticscan.com
www.bioticscan.com

These statements have not been evaluated by the Food and Drug Administration. These products are not intended to diagnose, treat, cure, or prevent any disease.

Study Summary - Vitamin D Treatment in Young Children

J Clin Endocrin Metab. First published ahead of print April 15, 2008 as doi:10.1210/jc.2007-2790

Treatment of Hypovitaminosis D in Infants and Toddlers

Catherine M. Gordon, MD, MSc^{1,2}

Avery LeBoff Williams¹

Henry A. Feldman, PhD^{2,3}

Jessica May, BA¹

Linda Sinclair, BA¹

Alex Vasquez, DC, ND⁴

Joanne E. Cox, MD

¹Division of Adolescent Medicine; ²Division of Endocrinology; ³Clinical Research Program;

⁴Biotics Research Corporation, Rosenberg, TX

⁵General Pediatrics; Children's Hospital Boston, Boston Massachusetts

Corresponding Author:

Catherine M. Gordon, MD, MSc

Divisions of Adolescent Medicine and Endocrinology

Children's Hospital Boston

300 Longwood Avenue

Boston, MA 02115

Phone: (617) 355-8492

Fax: (617) 730-0195

Email: catherine.gordon@childrens.harvard.edu

Introduction

Vitamin D deficiency, or hypovitaminosis D, appears to be on the rise in young children, with an increased prevalence noted among African American breastfed infants residing in northern latitudes.¹ This deficiency has been identified as the leading cause of rickets among infants, as breast milk contains inadequate amounts of vitamin D to support skeletal health in this age range.^{2,3} Furthermore, numerous sources of evidence now indicate that vitamin D (cholecalciferol) has several important physiologic effects beyond calcium absorption and bone maintenance,^{4,5} and early vitamin D repletion through supervised supplementation may have a positive impact on later neurologic health,^{6,7} immune function,^{8,9} and chronic disease risk.^{10,11} With the reemergence of hypovitaminosis D among infants and toddlers, questions regarding the most appropriate treatment regimen require clarification.

The aim of the present study was to examine prospectively three common treatment short-term regimens for correction of hypovitaminosis D in infants and toddlers. We conducted a randomized clinical trial, treating participants with either daily low dose of vitamin D₂, a higher dose of vitamin D₂ once weekly, or a low dose of vitamin D₃ once daily. This study examined: 1) the efficacy of each treatment in raising serum 25(OH)D and lowering parathyroid hormone (PTH) concentrations; and, 2) the safety and tolerance of each regimen in infants and toddlers, as evaluated through documentation of hypo- or hypercalcemia and reported symptoms.

Research Design and Methods

Subjects

During the cross-sectional screening portion of the study, 380 infants and toddlers, aged 8-24 months, were enrolled consecutively throughout the calendar year from the Children's Hospital Boston Primary Care Center between October 2005 and June 2007. Exclusion criteria for the study included having a chronic disease (e.g. asthma, seizure disorder, sickle cell disease),

or the use of oral glucocorticoid over the previous 3 months, or other therapy known to affect vitamin D metabolism. Patients found to be vitamin D deficient (25(OH)D \leq 20 ng/mL [50 nmol/L]) were invited to participate in the randomized clinical trial which included randomization to one of three vitamin D treatment regimens. The Committee on Clinical Investigation, Children's Hospital Boston, approved the study protocol, and parents or guardians of all participants provided written informed consent.

Treatment Protocol

Patients identified to have hypovitaminosis D were randomly assigned to one treatment protocol. The vitamin D treatments included one of three regimens: 2,000 IU oral ergocalciferol (vitamin D₂) daily, 50,000 IU vitamin D₂ weekly, or 2,000 IU cholecalciferol (vitamin D₃) daily. Each group was also prescribed 50 mg/kg/day of elemental calcium to prevent hypocalcemia associated with 'hungry bone' syndrome.¹² Infants received the combined vitamin D and calcium treatment for a course of 6 weeks.

Vitamin D and calcium supplements were each provided in a liquid suspension that was administered orally from a vial directly onto the tongue. The vitamin D₂ preparation (200 IU per drop or 0.025 mL) was manufactured by Sanofi-Synthelabo Inc. (Bridgewater, NJ), and doses were provided as 10 drops or 0.25 mL daily for the 2,000 IU dose and 6.25 mL weekly for the 50,000 IU dose; for each vitamin D₂ dose. The vitamin D₃ (2,000 IU per drop, oil and water emulsion) was provided by Biotics Research Corporation (Rosenberg, TX) and one drop or 0.025 mL was administered daily from the vial directly onto the child's tongue. Assays of products ensured potency. Two comparisons were formally designated as being of primary interest: daily vitamin D₂ vs weekly vitamin D₂, and daily vitamin D₂ vs daily vitamin D₃.

Laboratory Measurements

During the baseline and follow-up visits, one blood sample (15 mL) was obtained from each subject. Laboratory samples were processed immediately at both Children's Hospital Boston and ARUP Laboratories (Salt Lake City, UT). Serum 25(OH)D levels were measured at ARUP Laboratories, using a Diasorin chemiluminescent assay (LIAISON®; DiaSorin Inc, Stillwater, MN). This assay accurately quantifies the sum of both 25(OH)D₃ and 25(OH)D₂. A multi-channel analyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis) was used to measure serum calcium, phosphorus, magnesium, and alkaline phosphatase levels on site. Intact PTH was measured by a 2-site chemiluminescence immunoassay (Nichols Institute, San Clemente, CA).

Results

Subjects

Within our clinical sample of 380 infants and toddlers,¹³ we identified 40 infants and toddlers to have hypovitaminosis D (25(OH)D ≤ 20 ng/mL [50 nmol/L]). Within this sample of 40 participants, 35 completed the course of therapy (87.5%). All three treatments virtually tripled the 25(OH)D concentration in these vitamin D deficient children. The greatest effect was attained with weekly vitamin D₂: from 13.8 to 44.0 ng/mL, an increase of 220%. The next greatest was the effect of D₃ (13.7 to 41.2 ng/mL, 202%), followed by daily vitamin D₂ (15.7 to 43.9 ng/mL, 182%). Daily vitamin D₂ showed an effect 12% lower than weekly vitamin D₂ (p=0.66) and 7% lower than daily D₃ (p=0.82).

Calcium

Baseline calcium concentrations were compared to the current trial participants, each with hypovitaminosis D, to 329 vitamin D replete subjects. The mean change in serum calcium levels was small and similar in the three treatment groups (-3% for vitamin D₂ daily, +3% vitamin D₂ weekly, +1% vitamin D₃ daily).

Parathyroid Hormone (PTH)

Eight participants (20%) presented with elevated PTH at baseline (reference range 10-65 pg/mL). All cases returned to normal limits following treatment. There was no significant difference in PTH suppression among the three groups (p=0.74).

Alkaline Phosphatase

There was no significant impact of treatment on alkaline phosphatase concentrations.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this study is the first to compare the efficacy and safety of three common short-term treatment regimens to correct hypovitaminosis D in infants and toddlers. We report no difference in outcome between vitamin D₂ daily, vitamin D₂ weekly, or vitamin D₃ daily for a sample of young children. Our study showed that each treatment regimen was equally

effective, as well as safe. These data are reassuring to providers, as vitamin D₂ daily or weekly, or vitamin D₃ daily, combined with elemental calcium, appears to provide an effective and well-tolerated treatment for correcting hypovitaminosis D in infants and toddlers.

Our data provide clinical guidance regarding the appropriate dosage range of vitamin D to treat deficiency in this young population. Among infants, hypercalcemia has been reported with the administration of single high-dose therapy of 300,000 IU¹⁴ or 600,000 IU,¹⁵ as well as daily doses exceeding 10,000 IU daily.¹⁶ While a single 600,000 IU dose has been strongly advocated by one group as a safe regimen and one that eliminates the problem of noncompliance,¹⁸ this recommendation has been met with controversy and, specifically, concerns about hypercalcemia,^{14, 17} especially in an outpatient setting. In our study, we report a surprising higher overall incidence of mild hypercalcemia at baseline in contrast to after treatment. All subjects were asymptomatic. There was no statistically significant correlation between the presence of hypercalcemia at baseline and following each tested course of treatment. Therefore, these more conservative regimens of vitamin D₂ daily, vitamin D₂ weekly, or vitamin D₃ daily may provide the necessary treatment without the increased risk of hypercalcemia commonly associated with single large dose therapies (also known as stosstherapy).¹⁸ The potential toxicity associated with stosstherapy is further underscored by a recent report that showed hypercalcemia in an infant treated with the equivalent of 4 daily stosstherapy doses.¹⁹

In summary, we demonstrate that 2,000 IU daily vitamin D₂, 50,000 IU vitamin D₂ weekly, or 2,000 IU daily vitamin D₃ yield equivalent outcomes in the short-term treatment of hypovitaminosis D among otherwise healthy infants and toddlers. These results indicate that pediatric providers can determine the appropriate method of treatment for a given patient or family to ensure compliance. The argument favoring large dose depot therapies for correcting hypovitaminosis D must be reevaluated, as more conservative lower dose therapies may provide a safer method of treatment, especially in the outpatient setting, without the associated risk of hypercalcemia. We recommend early treatment with one of these three treatment regimens, or subtle variations to the dosages studied, to prevent the potential skeletal and extraskeletal problems associated with hypovitaminosis D. Lastly, we do not endorse the use of the current relatively high doses of vitamin D for the long-term prevention of hypovitaminosis D in infants and young children.

Acknowledgments: We wish to acknowledge

Supported by grants from the Allen Foundation, McCarthy Family Foundation; NIH MO1-RR-2172 grant to the Children's Hospital Boston General Clinical Research Center; and Project T71 MC00009 Maternal and Child Health Bureau, HRSA.

References:

1. Ziegler EE, Hollis BW, Nelson SE, Jeter JM 2006 Vitamin D deficiency in breastfed infants in Iowa. *Pediatrics* 118:603-610.
2. Pettifor JM 2004 Nutritional rickets: deficiency of vitamin D, calcium, or both? *Am J Clin Nutr* 80:1725-1729.
3. Hollis BW, Wagner CL 2004 Assessment of dietary vitamin D requirements during pregnancy and lactation. *Am J Clin Nutr* 80(6 Suppl):1752S-8S.
4. Zittermann A 2003 Vitamin D in preventive medicine: are we ignoring the evidence? *Br J Nutr* 89:552-72.
5. Vasquez A, Manso G, Cannell J 2004 The clinical importance of vitamin D (cholecalciferol): a paradigm shift with implications for all healthcare providers. *Altern Ther Health Med* 10:28-36.
6. McGrath JJ, Féron FP, Burne TH, Mackay-Sim A, Eyles DW 2004 Vitamin D3-implications for brain development. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 89-90(1-5):557-60.
7. McGrath J, Saari K, Hakko H, Jokelainen J, Jones P, Järvelin MR, Chant D, Isohanni M 2004 Vitamin D supplementation during the first year of life and risk of schizophrenia: a Finnish birth cohort study. *Schizophr Res* 67(2-3):237-45.
8. Hyppönen E, Läärä E, Reunanen A, Järvelin MR, Virtanen SM 2001 Intake of vitamin D and risk of type 1 diabetes: a birth-cohort study. *Lancet* 358(9292):1500-3.
9. Cantorna MT, Mahon BD 2004 Mounting evidence for vitamin D as an environmental factor affecting autoimmune disease prevalence. *Exp Biol Med* 229(11):1136-42.
10. Heaney RP 2003 Long-latency deficiency disease: insights from calcium and vitamin D. *Am J Clin Nutr* 78(5):912-9.
11. Holick MF 2004 Vitamin D: importance in the prevention of cancers, type 1 diabetes, heart disease, and osteoporosis. *Am J Clin Nutr* 79(3):362-71.
12. Thacher TD, Fischer PR, Pettifor JM, Lawson JO, Isichei CO, Reading JC, Chan GM 1999 A comparison of calcium, vitamin D, or both for nutritional rickets in Nigerian children. *N Engl J Med* 341:563-8.
13. Gordon CM, Feldman HA, Sinclair L, Williams AL, Kleinman PK, Perez-Rossello J, Cox J 2007 Prevalence of Vitamin D Deficiency Among Healthy Infants and Toddlers. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2007 (in press).
14. Cesur Y, Caksen H, Gundem A, Kirimi E, Odabas D 2003 Comparison of low and high dose of vitamin D treatment in nutritional vitamin D deficiency rickets. *J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab* 16:1105-1109.
15. Markestad T, Hesse V, Siebenhuner M, Jahreis G, Aksnes L, Plenert W, Aarskog D 1987 Intermittent high-dose vitamin D prophylaxis during infancy: effect on vitamin D metabolites, calcium, and phosphorus. *Am J Clin Nutr* 46:562-8.
16. Jacobus CH, Holick MF, Shao Q, Chen TC, Holm IA, Kolodny JM, Fuleihan GE, Seely EW 1992 Hypervitaminosis D associated with drinking milk. *N Engl J Med* 326:1173-1177.
17. Mimouni F 1995 Single-day therapy for rickets. *J Pediatr* 126:1019-20.
18. Shah BR, Finberg L 1994 Single-dose therapy for nutritional vitamin D-deficiency rickets: a preferred method. *J Pediatr* 125:487-90.
19. Barrueto F Jr, Wang-Flores HH, Howland MA, Hoffman RS, Nelson LS 2005 Acute vitamin D intoxication in a child. *Pediatrics* 2005;116:e453.

Bio-D-Mulsion 1000® is available in 1 ounce bottles (#1012).

Supplement Facts

Serving Size: 1 Drop (0.04 mL)

| | Amount Per Serving | % Daily Value |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Vitamin D (as cholecalciferol) | 50 mcg | 250% |

Other ingredients: Water, gum arabic and sesame seed oil.

Bio-D-Mulsion 1000® is an oil-in-water emulsion. Vitamin D oil has been dispersed into microscopic particles to aid absorption and assimilation.

This product is gluten and dairy free.

RECOMMENDATION: One (1) drop each day as a dietary supplement or as otherwise directed by a healthcare professional.

This product is intended to be used under the direction and supervision of a healthcare professional. Use only as recommended unless otherwise directed.

KEEP OUT OF REACH OF CHILDREN

Store in a cool, dry area.

Sealed with an imprinted safety seal for your protection.

Product # 1012 Rev.12/18

To place your order for **Bio-ADEK-Mulsion™** or for additional information please contact us below.



(800) 840-1676

Biotics Research Canada
Box 283 • Keswick ON L4P 3E2
orders@bioticscan.com
www.bioticscan.com

These statements have not been evaluated by the Food and Drug Administration. These products are not intended to diagnose, treat, cure, or prevent any disease.